This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



### Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

### STRONTIUM IONS BRIDGED BY PYRAZINE-2,5-DICARBOXYLIC ACID AND WATER MOLECULES MAKE A THREE-DIMENSIONAL POLYMERIC STRUCTURE OF TRIAQUOMONO (μ-PYRAZINE-2,5-DICARBOXYLATO) STRONTIUM(II) DIHYDRATE CRYSTALS H. Ptasiewicz-bak<sup>a</sup>; J. Leciejewicz<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology, Warszawa, Poland

**To cite this Article** Ptasiewicz-bak, H. and Leciejewicz, J.(1998) 'STRONTIUM IONS BRIDGED BY PYRAZINE-2,5-DICARBOXYLIC ACID AND WATER MOLECULES MAKE A THREE-DIMENSIONAL POLYMERIC STRUCTURE OF TRIAQUOMONO (μ-PYRAZINE-2,5-DICARBOXYLATO) STRONTIUM(II) DIHYDRATE CRYSTALS', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 44: 3, 237 — 246

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00958979808023076 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958979808023076

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

J. Coord. Chem., 1998, Vol. 44, pp. 237-246 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

## STRONTIUM IONS BRIDGED BY PYRAZINE-2,5-DICARBOXYLIC ACID AND WATER MOLECULES MAKE A THREE-DIMENSIONAL POLYMERIC STRUCTURE OF TRIAQUOMONO (μ-PYRAZINE-2,5-DICARBOXYLATO) STRONTIUM(II) DIHYDRATE CRYSTALS

#### H. PTASIEWICZ-BAK and J. LECIEJEWICZ\*

Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology, ul.Dorodna 16, 03-195 Warszawa, Poland

(Received 14 August 1997; Revised 10 October 1997; In final form 24 March 1998)

Triaquo( $\mu$ -pyrazine-2,5-dicarboxylato)strontium(II) dihydrate, SrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> crystallizes in the triclinic system, space group P1, a = 6.238(1)Å, b = 9.418(2)Å, c = 10.591(2)Å,  $\alpha = 73.58(3)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 80.52(3)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 75.01(3)^\circ$ , Z = 2. The structure is polymeric. Strontium ions are bridged by pyrazine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid molecules which use both (N,O)-bonding moieties (mean Sr-O = 2.567(3)Å, mean Sr-N = 2.826(3)Å). In addition, the bridging is accomplished by two oxygen atoms from water molecules (mean Sr-O = 2.681(3)Å) and bidentate oxygen atoms (Sr-O = 2.697(3)Å) from a carboxylic group of a pyrazine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid molecule. As a result, a three-dimensional molecular framework is formed. The coordination around the Sr ion is ninefold: seven oxygen and two nitrogen atoms make a distorted, monocapped square antiprism. Two water molecules per unit cell are situated in the holes of this framework and participate in an extended hydrogen bond scheme.

Keywords: Strontium complexes; pyrazine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid; X-ray crystal structure analysis

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: jlec@orange.ichtj.waw.pl.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Divalent metal complexes with pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (2,3-pzdc) exhibit polymeric structures in which metal ions are bridged either *via* both (N, O)-bonding moieties or via only one (N, O)-bonding moiety and an oxygen atom of the second carboxylic group. The latter is usually bridging. For example, the magnesium complex shows a polymeric structure consisting of Mg ions bridged by 2,3-pzdc molecules via one (N, O)-bonding moiety and the bridging second carboxylic group forming molecular ribbons.<sup>1</sup> On the other hand, the structure of the magnesium complex with pyrazine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid (2,5-pzdc) contains  $Mg(H_2O)_6^{+2}$  cations and (2,5 $pzdc)^{-2}$  anions held together by strong hydrogen bonds between the water molecules and carboxylic oxygens.<sup>2</sup> It therefore seemed interesting to find how the different configuration of carboxylic groups present in 2,5-pzdc will influence the molecular framework in the calcium and strontium complexes as compared to the respective compounds of 2,3-pzdc which show complex polymeric structures.<sup>3,4</sup> The results of a X-ray crystal structure of the strontium complex with 2,5-pzdc are reported in this paper.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The title compound was synthesized by reacting 1 mmol of 2,5-pzdc (Across Chimica) dissolved in 10 mL of hot water with 1 mmol of strontium carbonate. After the SrCO<sub>3</sub> was dissolved, the solution was boiled for 1 h. Colorless single crystals in the form of prismatic plates appeared overnight in the mother liquid. They were washed with cold water. The dimensions of a single crystal selected for X-ray data collection are listed in Table I.

The reflections were measured at room temperature using a KUMA KM4 (CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation) four-circle diffractometer operating in  $\omega - 2\theta$  mode. Scanrange was +0.65 ( $\omega$ ) around K<sub> $\alpha$ 1</sub>-K<sub> $\alpha$ 2</sub> angles, scan speed 5–15( $\omega$ )min<sup>-1</sup> depending on the intensity of a pre-scan; backgrounds were measured at each end of the scan for 0.3 of the scan time. Two standard reflections were monitored every 200 reflections. They remained constant throughout every data collection process. Unit cell dimensions and standard deviations were obtained by least-squares fit to 25 reflections (18° < 2 $\theta$  < 38°). Reflections were processed using profile analysis in each case and were corrected for Lorentz factor and polarization effects, those with  $I/\sigma(I)$  > 4.0 where used in the refinement. No absorption correction was applied. Non-hydrogen ions were located by direct methods using the SHELXLS program.<sup>5</sup>

Empirical formulaSr $C_{6}H_{12}N_{2}O_{9}$ Formula weight343.8Temperature293 KWavelength1.54178 ÅCrystal systemTriclinicSpace group $P_{1}^{-1}$ Unit cell dimensions $a = 6.238(1) Å$ $b = 9.418(2) Å$ $c = 10.591(2) Å$ $a = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ Volume $573.7 Å^{3}$ Density (calculated)1.99 g cm^{-3} $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ $ndex$ ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ $-13 < l < 13$ $2171$ No. of reflections collected $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinement $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLogent differences $None$				
Formula weight $343.8$ Temperature $293 \text{ K}$ Wavelength $1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Crystal systemTriclinicSpace group $P\overline{1}$ Unit cell dimensions $a = 6.238(1) \text{ Å}$ $b = 9.418(2) \text{ Å}$ $c = 10.591(2) \text{ Å}$ $a = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ Volume $573.7 \text{ Å}^3$ Z2Density (calculated) $1.99 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ $no. of reflections collected1986R_{int}0.054Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure solution9.32Goodness-of-fit on F^21.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLorent differenceL 21 + (\frac{1}{2})^{2}Lorent differenceL 21 + (\frac{1}{2})^{2}$	Empirical formula	Sr C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>9</sub>		
Temperature293 KWavelength1.54178 ÅCrystal systemTriclinicSpace group $P\bar{1}$ Unit cell dimensions $a = 6.238(1) Å$ $b = 9.418(2) Å$ $c = 10.591(2) Å$ $a = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ Volume $573.7 Å^3$ Z2Density (calculated)1.99 g cm <sup>-3</sup> $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ )7.02 mm <sup>-1</sup> $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$ mm $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinement $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference $L 21 + (\frac{1}{2} Å)$ Lorent difference $L 21 + (\frac{1}{2} Å)$ Lorent difference $L 21 + (\frac{1}{2} Å)$	Formula weight	343.8		
Wavelength1.54178 ÅCrystal systemTriclinicSpace group $PI$ Unit cell dimensions $a = 6.238(1)$ Å $b = 9.418(2)$ Å $c = 10.591(2)$ Å $a = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ Volume $573.7$ Å $^3$ $Z$ $2$ Density (calculated) $1.99 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of independent reflections $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLossert difference $L 21 e (\frac{1}{2} 3)$ Lossert difference $L 21 e (\frac{1}{2} 3)$	Temperature	293 K		
Crystal systemTriclinicSpace group $P_1^{\bar{1}}$ Unit cell dimensions $a = 6.238(1)$ Å $b = 9.418(2)$ Å $c = 10.591(2)$ Å $a = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ Volume $2$ Density (calculated) $1.99 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}^{-3}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLorent differences $None$	Wavelength	1.54178 Å		
Space group $P\bar{1}$ Unit cell dimensions $a = 6.238(1)$ Å $b = 9.418(2)$ Å $c = 10.591(2)$ Å $a = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ$	Crystal system	Triclinic		
Unit cell dimensions $a = 6.238(1)$ Å $b = 9.418(2)$ Å $c = 10.591(2)$ Å $a = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 70.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	Space group	PĨ		
$b = 9.418(2) Å$ $c = 10.591(2) Å$ $\alpha = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{$	Unit cell dimensions	a = 6.238(1) Å		
$c = 10.591(2) \text{ Å}$ $\alpha = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ Volume $Z$ Density (calculated) $\mu (CuK\alpha)$ $\gamma = 0 \text{ Cu} \text{ Cu} \alpha$ $\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$		b = 9.418(2) Å		
$\begin{array}{c} \alpha = 73.58(3)^{\circ} \\ \beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ} \\ \gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ} \\ \gamma = 75$		c = 10.591(2)  Å		
$\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ $Z$ $Z$ $Density (calculated)$ $\mu (CuK\alpha)$ $F(000)$ $Crystal size$ $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ $\theta \text{ range for data collection}$ $R_{int}$ $0.0054$ $Prescent for the state of $		$\alpha = 73.58(3)^{\circ}$		
$\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$ Volume $573.7 \text{ Å}^3$ Z2Density (calculated) $1.99 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of reflections collected $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementDirect methodNo. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final R1 index $0.036$ Final wR2 index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLosset difference $L 21 e (\frac{1}{2} 3)$ Losset difference $L 21 e (\frac{1}{2} 3)$		$\beta = 80.52(3)^{\circ}$		
Volume $573.7 \text{ Å}^3$ Z2Density (calculated) $1.99 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^\circ$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of reflections collected $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference $L 21 e \sqrt{3} = L \sqrt{10} \sqrt{3}$		$\gamma = 75.01(3)^{\circ}$		
Z2Density (calculated) $1.99 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of reflections collectedDirect method $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementNoneData/parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference $1.21e/(3.3)$ Lorent difference $1.21e/(3.3)$	Volume	573.7 Å <sup>3</sup>		
Density (calculated) $1.99 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ $\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of independent reflections $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference $121 \times (53)$ Lorent difference $121 \times (53)$	Ζ	2		
$\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ ) $7.02 \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ $F(000)$ $344.0$ Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \mathrm{mm}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ $-13 < l < 13$ $2171$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of independent reflections $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference $121e(\frac{1}{3})$ $k = 121e(\frac{1}{3})$ $k = 121e(\frac{1}{3})$	Density (calculated)	$1.99{ m gcm^{-3}}$		
$F(000)$ 344.0Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ $ndex$ ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ $ndex$ ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ $no.$ of reflections collected $2171$ No. of independent reflections $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference $121e(\frac{1}{23})$ $(Lorent difference)$ $L21e(\frac{1}{23})$	$\mu$ (CuK $\alpha$ )	$7.02 \mathrm{mm^{-1}}$		
Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ $\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of independent reflections1986 $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined213Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio9.32Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final $R1$ index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference $121e(\frac{1}{2})$ None $121e(\frac{1}{2})$	F(000)	344.0		
$\theta$ range for data collection $83^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of independent reflections $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final $wR2$ index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLatered tilf method $121e(100)$	Crystal size	$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \mathrm{mm}^3$		
Index ranges $-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 1$ No. of reflections collected2171No. of independent reflections1986 $R_{int}$ 0.054Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined2.13Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio9.32Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLatererst differenceLatererst difference	$\theta$ range for data collection	83°		
$-13 < l < 13$ No. of reflections collected2171No. of independent reflections1986 $R_{int}$ 0.054Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementDirect methodNo. of parameters refined213Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio9.32Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLaterersNone	Index ranges	-7 < h < 0, -12 < k < 11,		
No. of reflections collected $2171$ No. of independent reflections $1986$ $R_{int}$ $0.054$ Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final $R1$ index $0.036$ Final wR2 index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLatered tiffer new load hele $212/\sqrt{3}$ Latered tiffer new load hele $212/\sqrt{3}$		-13 < l < 13		
No. of independent reflections1986 $R_{int}$ 0.054Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined213Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio9.32Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLagrant difference $L 21e/(3.3) L (1)e/(3.3)$	No. of reflections collected	2171		
$R_{int}$ 0.054Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined213Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio9.32Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLorent differenceL 21c/(Å3)Lorent differenceL 21c/(Å3)	No. of independent reflections	1986		
Method of structure solutionDirect methodMethod of structure refinementDirect methodMo. of parameters refined $213$ Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final R1 index $0.036$ Final wR2 index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference $121e/(33)$ Lorent difference $121e/(33)$	R <sub>int</sub>	0.054		
Method of structure refinementFull-matrix least squar on $F^2$ No. of parameters refined213Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio9.32Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLorent difference1.212 ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) ( $\frac{1}{2}$ )	Method of structure solution	Direct method		
No. of parameters refinedon $F^2$ No. of parameters refined213Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio9.32Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLastert diff. newly and hele $2 \ln (h^2)^2$	Method of structure refinement	finement Full-matrix least squares		
No. of parameters refined213Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLorent diff merkloard hele $2 \ln (h^2)^2$		on $F^2$		
Data/parameters/restrainsNoneData/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final R1 index $0.036$ Final wR2 index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLastert difference laster laster $121e(\frac{13}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) = 121e(\frac{13}{2} + \frac{1}{2})$	No. of parameters refined	213		
Data/parameters ratio $9.32$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $1.113$ Final R1 index $0.036$ Final wR2 index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLaster difference laster	Data/parameters/restrains	None		
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 1.113Final R1 index0.036Final wR2 index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLossent difference in the parameters $121e(\frac{13}{2} + \frac{1}{2})e(\frac{13}{2$	Data/parameters ratio	9.32		
Final $R1$ index0.036Final $wR2$ index0.091Absorption parametersNoneLogenet difference in the parameters $121e/(33)$	Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.113		
Final wR2 index $0.091$ Absorption parametersNoneLargest diff much and hele $1.21 + (\frac{1}{2})^2$	Final R1 index	0.036		
Absorption parameters None	Final wR2 index	0.091		
Learnest diff mould on d help $121 - (\overline{A}^3 - 1)(1 - (\overline{A}^3))$	Absorption parameters	None		
Largest diff. peak and note 1.51 e/A, -1.61 e/A	Largest diff. peak and hole	$1.31 \text{ e}/\text{Å}^3$ , $-1.61 \text{ e}/\text{Å}^3$		
Weight parameters $(A, B)$ 0.0726, 0.0	Weight parameters $(A, B)$	0.0726, 0.0		
Mean Shift/e.s.d. 0.009	Mean Shift/e.s.d.	0.009		

TABLE I Crystal data and structure refinement details for  $Sr(2,5-pzdc)(H_2O)_3.2H_2O$ 

Hydrogen atoms were found by difference Fourier syntheses. Final refinement was done on positional parameters of all atoms and anisotropic temperature factors for all non-H-atoms and isotropic temperature factors for hydrogen atoms. Final refinement was on  $F^2$  by least-squares methods. A weighting scheme was used in the form:  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (A * P)^2 + B * P]$ , where  $P = (Max(F_o^2) + 2F_c^2)/3$  and A, B are refined parameters listed in Table I. Computing was carried out with SHELXL 93.<sup>6</sup> Final atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacements are shown in Table II, selected bond lengths and angles in Table III. Listings of calculated and observed structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters can be obtained from the authors on request.

Atom	X	у	Ξ	Ueq
Sr	0.26298(5)	0.89470(3)	0.64012(3)	0.0184(1)
O1	-0.0347(5)	0.7394(4)	0.7293(3)	0.029(1)
O2	0.471(1)	0.6841(7)	0.8239(8)	0.064(1)
O3	0.1453(5)	0.9245(3)	0.4017(3)	0.022(1)
O4	0.0540(8)	0.4447(5)	0.8900(4)	0.045(1)
(1/2)O51	0.268(1)	0.6223(7)	0.2124(7)	0.034(1)
(1/2)O52	0.355(1)	0.6141(8)	0.0856(8)	0.048(1)
011	0.6518(5)	0.8406(3)	0.5147(3)	0.024(1)
012	0.9200(5)	0.7163(3)	0.3917(3)	0.029(1)
C13	0.6951(6)	0.4860(4)	0.4278(3)	0.022(1)
C12	0.6099(6)	0.6090(4)	0.4831(3)	0.016(1)
C11	0.7380(5)	0.7317(4)	0.4616(4)	0.018(1)
NI	0.5870(5)	0.3769(4)	0.4439(3)	0.022(1)
021	0.5252(6)	0.9739(4)	0.2400(3)	0.030(1)
022	0.5631(6)	0.8007(4)	0.1269(4)	0.042(1)
C23	1.1013(6)	1.0783(4)	0.0481(4)	0.021(1)
C22	0.8221(5)	0.9557(4)	0.0725(3)	0.018(1)
C21	0.6184(5)	0.9059(4)	0.1544(3)	0.024(1)
N2	0.9231(5)	1.0349(3)	0.1211(3)	0.019(1)
HI	0.83(1)	0.48(1)	0.36(1)	0.03(1)
H2	1.17(1)	1.14(1)	0.07(1)	0.07(2)
HII	-0.00(1)	0.64(1)	0.77(1)	0.04(1)
H12	-0.14(1)	0.76(1)	0.70(1)	0.04(1)
<b>H</b> 21	0.40(1)	0.68(1)	0.87(1)	0.01(1)
H22	0.43(2)	0.62(1)	0.83(1)	0.11(5)
H31	0.26(1)	0.94(1)	0.34(1)	0.01(1)
H32	0.12(1)	0.87(1)	0.39(1)	0.02(1)
H41	0.20(1)	0.36(1)	0.88(1)	0.09(3)
H42	0.06(3)	0.41(2)	0.92(2)	0.028(10)

TABLE II Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement (Å<sup>2</sup>) for  $Sr(2,5-pzdc)(H_2O)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ 

#### DISCUSSION

The structure of the title compound can be visualized as a three-dimensional molecular framework in which Sr ions are linked by 2,5-pzdc and water molecules. The two symmetry related Sr ions in the unit cell are bridged by the 2,5-pzdc molecule (a), which uses both its N,O-bonding moieties with typical Sr–N and Sr–O bonds of 2.826(3) and 2.567(3) Å respectively. This bridging 2,5-pzdc molecule has its center at 1/2, 1/2, 1/2and is planar with maximum out-of-plane shift of 0.001(9) Å (see Figure 1). The planes of both carboxylic groups make dihedral angles of only 0.9(1)° with the plane of the pyrazine ring. Only one oxygen of each carboxylic group is used for bonding, the other one is inactive. The bonding oxygen acts as bridging since apart from taking part in the N, O-bonding moiety it is simultaneously chelated to another Sr ion which is situated in the neighboring unit cell. (see Figure 2) with a Sr–O bond distance of 2.697 Å.

(a) Covalent bonds:			
Sr-O11	2,567(3)	$O11 - Sr - O11^{111}$	71.3(1)
Sr-Oll <sup>III</sup>	2.697(3)	O11-Sr-O21 <sup>111</sup>	81.1(3)
Sr-O21 <sup>111</sup>	2.678(3)	$O11-Sr-N1^{1}$	60.6(1)
Sr-N1 <sup>1</sup>	2.826(3)	$O11-Sr-N2^{III}$	137.7(1)
Sr-N2 <sup>III</sup>	2.786(3)	011-Sr-01	133.2(1)
Sr-Ol	2.555(3)	O11-Sr-O2	80.5(2)
Sr02	2.595(5)	$O_{11}-S_{r}-O_{3}$	80.6(1)
Sr-O3	2.663(3)	$O_{11} - S_{r} - O_{3}^{11}$	139.2(1)
Sr-O3 <sup>II</sup>	2.699(3)	$O_{11}^{111} - S_{r} - O_{21}^{111}$	67.2(1)
$O11^{III}$ -Sr-N1 <sup>1</sup>	121.1(1)	O3-Sr-O3 <sup>11</sup>	66.9(1)
$O11^{III} - Sr - N2^{III}$	103.6(1)	$N1^{1}$ -Sr-O1	72.8(1)
$O11^{III}$ -Sr-O1	145.1(1)	$N1^{I}$ -Sr-O2	71.0(2)
$O11^{III}$ -Sr-O2	132.9(2)	N1 <sup>1</sup> -Sr-O3	67.6(1)
O11 <sup>III</sup> -Sr-O3	73.1(1)	$N1^{1}-Sr-O3^{11}$	122.1(1)
$O11^{III}$ -Sr- $O3^{II}$	76.0(1)	$N2^{111}$ -Sr-O1	74.7(Ì)
$O21^{III}$ -Sr-N1 <sup>1</sup>	130.0(1)	$N2^{111}-Sr-O2$	73.4(1)
$O21^{III} - Sr - N2^{III}$	59.6(1)	N2 <sup>111</sup> -Sr-O3	139.6(1)
O21 <sup>111</sup> -Sr-O1	131.5(1)	$N2^{111}$ -Sr-O3 <sup>11</sup>	73.2(1)
O21 <sup>III</sup> -Sr-O2	71.9(2)	O1-Sr-O2	80.8(2)
O21 <sup>III</sup> -Sr-O3	139.8(1)	Ol-Sr-O3	85.9(1)
$O21^{III}$ -Sr-O3 <sup>II</sup>	108.0(1)	$O1-Sr-O3^{II}$	70.1(1)
O2-Sr-O3	138.6(2)	$O2-Sr-O3^{II}$	140.3(2)
N1-C12 <sup>1</sup>	1.336(4)	C12 <sup>1</sup> -N1-C13	116.4(3)
N1-C13	1.328(4)	N1-C13-C12	122.4(3)
C13-C12	1.389(5)	N1 <sup>i</sup> -C12-C13	121.2(3)
C11-C12	1.515(4)	012 <sup>1</sup> -C11-O11	125.8(3)
C11-O11	1.263(4)		
C11-O12	1.248(4)		
N2-C22	1.337(4)	C22-N2-C23	116.7(3)
N2-C23	1.331(4)	$N2 - C23 - C22^{IV}$	121.9(3)
C23-C22 <sup>IV</sup>	1.384(5)	N2-C22-C23 <sup>IV</sup>	121.4(3)
C21-C22	1.517(5)	O22-C21-O21	127.3(3)
C21-O21	1.238(5)		
C21-O22	1.249(5)		
C13-H1	0.98(5)		
C23-H2	1.02(8)		
O1-H11	0.87(7)	H11-O1-H12	114(6)
H12	0.78(7)		
O2-H21	0.67(6)	H21-O2-H22	98(10)
-H22	0.57(8)		
O3-H31	0.90(5)	H31–O3–H32	102(7)
-H32	0.52(6)		
O4-H41	1.01(10)	H41-O4-H42	69(10)
-H42	0.41(18)		
(b) Hydrogen bonds:			
O1O4	2.784(6)	O1-H11O4	178(6)
H11O4	1.93(7)		
01011 <sup>v</sup>	3.028(4)	O1-H12O11*	149(6)
H12O11*	2.33(7)		
03021	2.747(4)	O3-H31O21	171(6)
H31021	1.86(5)		1.02/10
03012	2.725(4)	O3-H32O12*	156(13)

TABLE III Selected interatomic distances (in Å) and angles (in deg.) for Sr(2,5-pzdc)  $(H_2O)_3\cdot 2\;H_2O$ 

	,			
H32O12 <sup>v</sup>	2.23(6)			
O4O22 <sup>1</sup>	2.884(5)	O4-H41O22 <sup>1</sup>	165(15)	
H41O22 <sup>1</sup>	1.87(12)			
O2 <sup>VI</sup> (1/2)O52	2.676(11)	O2 <sup>VI</sup> -H21 <sup>VI</sup> (1/2)O52	143(11)	
H21 <sup>VI</sup> (1/2)O52	2.14(7)			
O2 <sup>1</sup> (1/2)O52	2.694(10)	O2 <sup>I</sup> -H22 <sup>I</sup> (1/2)O52	140(5)	
H22 <sup>1</sup> (1/2)O52	2.21(9)			
O2 <sup>1</sup> (1/2)O51	3.026(8)	O2 <sup>1</sup> -H22 <sup>1</sup> (1/2)O51	138(5)	
H22 <sup>1</sup> (1/2)O51	2.56(5)			
O22(1/2)O51	2.683(8)			
012(1/2)051	2.779(9)			
O22(1/2)O52	2.601(4)			
O4 <sup>VII</sup> (1/2)O52	2.704(7)			
O4 <sup>VII</sup> (1/2)O51	2.719(9)			

TABLE III (Continued)

 $\stackrel{1:}{(z+z+1,-y+1,-z+1; \stackrel{\text{II}}{(z+z+1,-y+2,-z+1; \stackrel{\text{III}}{(z+z+1,-y+2,-z+1; \stackrel{\text{IV}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-y+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2,-z; \stackrel{\text{V}}{(z+z+2$ 



FIGURE 1 A fragment of the chain in the polymeric structure of  $Sr(2,5-pzdc)(H_2O)_3$ . 2H<sub>2</sub>O. The numbering of atoms refers to Table III. <sup>1</sup>: -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; <sup>III</sup>: -x, -y+2, -z+1; <sup>III</sup>: -x+1, -y+2, -z+1; <sup>III</sup>: -x+1, -y+2, -z+1; <sup>III</sup>: -x+1, -y+2, -z+1; <sup>III</sup>: -x+1, -y+2, -z+1; <sup>III</sup>: -x, -y+1, -z+1; <sup>VII</sup>: x, y, z+1; -x, -y+1, -z+1; <sup>VIII</sup>: x, y-1, z.

In turn, the bridging oxygen atom form the carboxylic group belonging to the 2,5-pzdc molecule in the neighboring unit cell is linked to the Sr(a)ion with the same bond distance as above. The Sr, O11,  $Sr^{III}$  and O11<sup>III</sup> atoms (see Figure 3) make a plane which is inclined by the angle of 37.8(8)° to the



FIGURE 2 Packing diagram of the  $Sr(2,5-pzdc)(H_2O)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$  structure. The solvation water molecules are not shown for clarity.

plane of the 2,5-pzdc molecule. This bonding scheme gives rise to molecular ribbons propagating approximately along the [110] direction in the crystal.

There is a second 2,5-pzdc molecule (b) bridging with its center at 0, 0, 0. It links a Sr ion with the other one in the adjacent unit cell using also both its (N, O)-bonding moieties with Sr–N and Sr–O bonds amounting to 2.876(3) and 2.699(3) Å, respectively. However, their carboxylic oxygens remain monodentate (see Figure 1). This 2,5-pzdc molecule is also planar with a maximum out-of-plane shift of 0.002(9) Å. The planes of molecules (a) and (b) make a dihedral angle of  $33.4(8)^{\circ}$ . The bond lengths and angles within both 2,5-pzdc molecules (Table III) agree fairly well with those reported for the pyrazine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid dihydrate analogue.<sup>2</sup>

Two nearest Sr ions belonging to adjacent ribbons are additionally bridged by oxygen atoms of two water molecules, O3 and O3<sup>11</sup>, with Sr–O bonds of 2.664 and 2.699 Å respectively. In this way, a three-dimensional framework is formed as shown in Figure 3. The two Sr ions and two



FIGURE 3 The coordination around Sr ion in the structure of  $Sr(2,5-pzdc)(H_2O)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ . The nearest Sr ions are bridged by water oxygen O3 and by oxygen from carboxylic group O11. The numbering of atoms refers to Table III.

oxygen atoms constitute a plane with a maximum shift from the best plane of 0.0001(1) Å.

The coordination around the Sr ion is ninefold: apart from two nitrogen atoms, three carboxylate oxygens and two bridging water oxygens there are two more water oxygens coordinated to each Sr ion (see Table III for the respective bond distances and selected angles). The nine atoms coordinated to strontium form a distorted, monocapped square antiprism displayed in Figure 3. This shape has also been found in the structure of the strontium complex with pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (pda),<sup>7</sup> but is different from that observed in the structure of the strontium complex with pyrazine-2,3dicarboxylic acid. The coordination of strontium in the latter is also ninefold but has a more complicated shape.<sup>4</sup>

The bridging modes found in the title compound are different from those operating in the  $Sr(2,3-pzdc)(H_2O)_3$  crystals where only one (N, O)-bonding moiety of the 2,3-pzdc molecule is bridging while the second carboxylic group uses both its oxygens for bridging leaving its second heteroring nitrogen inactive. The structure consists of two-dimensional sheets with three water oxygens bonded to each Sr ion but not participating in the bridging system.

The Sr-O and N-O bond distances in the title compound are similar to those found in the structures of other strontium complexes with pyridine and pyrazine carboxylic acids. This is illustrated in Table IV.

There are two water molecules per unit cell situated in the holes of the molecular framework constituting the structure of the title compound. One of them occupies two sites with probability of 50%. These water molecules

TABLE IV Mean Sr-N, Sr- $O_{carboxyl}$ , Sr- $O_{water}$  bond distances in some strontium complexes (in Å)

Compound	Coordination	Sr-N	Sr-O <sub>carboxyl</sub>	Sr-O <sub>water</sub>	Ref.
$Sr(2.5-pzdc)(H_2O)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	9	2.806	2.647	2.618	This work
$Sr(2.3-pzdc)(H_2O)_3$	9	2.793	2.673	2.665	4
$Sr(dpa)(H_2O)_4$	9	2.640	2.617	2.617	7
$Sr(pzca)_2(H_2O)_4$	8	2.751	2.588	2.573	8



FIGURE 4 The disordered water molecules (1/2)O51 and (1/2)O52 in the hydrogen bond network in the structure of Sr(2,5-pzdc)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O. The numbering of atoms refers to Table III.

take part in the hydrogen bond network. The "disordered" water molecule (1/2)O51 and (1/2)O52 is active in a hydrogen bond network which links either two "inactive" carboxylic oxygens O22 and O12 of two different 2,5-pzdc molecules (see Figure 4) or an "inactive" carboxylic oxygen O22 with a water molecule O2 coordinated to a Sr ion. However, the "disordered" water molecule interacts also with the second solvation water molecule O4

via fairly strong hydrogen bonds either of 2.704(7) or 2.719(9) Å depending on the occupied site. The O4 solvation water molecule takes part in fairly strong hydrogen bonds of 2.784(6) and 2.884(5) Å to the coordinated water molecule O1 and the "inactive" carboxylic oxygen O22. Also the "bridging" water oxygen O3 forms hydrogen bonds to the carboxylic oxygens O21 and O12. All respective bond distances and angles are listed in Table III.

#### References

- [1] H. Ptasiewicz-Bak and J. Leciejewicz, Polish J. Chem., 70, 493 (1997) and references therein.
- [2] H. Ptasiewicz-Bak and J. Leciejewicz, J. Coord. Chem. (1998) (in press).
- [3] H. Ptasiewicz-Bak and J. Leciejewicz, Polish J. Chem., 71, 1603 (1997).
- [4] H. Ptasiewicz-Bak and J. Leciejewicz, Polish J. Chem., 72, 627 (1998).
- [5] G.M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., A46, 467 (1990).
- [6] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXL93, Program for crystal structure determination (1993).
- [7] K.J. Palmer, R.Y. Wong and J.C. Lewis, Acta Cryst., B28, 223 (1972).
- [8] H. Ptasiewicz-Bąk, A. Ostrowski and J. Leciejewicz, Polish J. Chem., (1998) (in press).